Stylistic Proofreading

Economic models typically assume that workers and jobs are matched through a search, the whose costs and benefits of which are equaliszed at the margin (Granovetter, 1995b, pp. 141–146); b.-But in most real labour markets, social networks play a key role; p.-Prospective employers and employees prefer to learn about one another from personal sources whose information they trust. This is an example of what has been called "social capital" (Lin, 2001). Such an interaction the about one links to theories of asymmetric information (for example, Montgomery, 1991), with the difference that, unlike in most such models, there is what one might call bilateral asymmetry – both employer and employee have information about their own "qualities" that the other needs. This is in contrast to the classic "flemons" model of Akerlof (1970), in which by contrast, the seller of a used car considers all buyers interchangeable and does not require detailed subtle information about them.

Because all social interactions unavoidably transmit information, details about employers, employees and jobs flow continuously through the social networks that people mostly maintain in large part for non-economic reasons. Since individuals use social contacts and networks that are already in place, and need not invest in constructing them, the cost of such informal information-gathering is less than that of using more formal search intermediaries (Shleifer, Andrei and Lawrence-Summers, 1990). However, b-Because pre-existing networks are unevenly distributed amongeross individuals, whatever the social processes involved, led to these networks will create an uneven playing field in the labour market without any actor necessarily having intended to do so (Granovetter, 1995b, pp. 169–177).

Whether the use of <u>social</u> ties in finding jobs significantly affects wages, wage growth, job satisfaction and productivity has been debated but not resolved. Large aggregated data_-sets sometimes do not show clear effects (as in Mouw, 2003), but more focused and specialised samples often do. Because <u>so much of</u> the hiring action in labour markets <u>can</u> occurs through social networks of very different kinds in a wide variety of circumstances, it would be surprising if outcomes were uniform. The resources <u>withiheld byn</u> individuals' networks₁, the intentions of employers, and macroeconomic conditions are only <u>three</u> of the important sources of variation in outcomes when networks <u>enable people to findroute people to</u> jobs (Granovetter, 1995b, pp. 146–162).

The point is that when mobility results from network connections, it changes network structure, which-that then feeds back into future mobility patterns. (Mouw, Theodore, 2003).

For example, One implication is that where rates of inter_firmere mobility are quite low, as in Japan during the 1970s and 1980s, few workers will ever have worked with <u>their equivalents</u> fromothers who are now at different firms. Then, i<u>If being able to movemobility</u> to a new firm relies heavily on <u>someone already in that firm vouching for one's ability to the</u> <u>employercertification to employers of one's ability by someone already in that firm</u>, a lack of mobility between firms will be self-perpetuating<u>i</u>, and conversely, when inter_firmere mobility is high, that greater mobility may also reproduce itself, as in Silicon Valley labo<u>u</u>r markets (Saxenian, 1994).

Commented [A1]: First names are not needed in in-text citations.